

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/599,987	09/599,987 06/23/2000		Richard E. Fulton	3663-5	9195
22442	7590	07/31/2003			
SHERIDAN		C	EXAMINER		
1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200				ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
DENVER, CO	O 80202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3761	2 2/
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/599,987	FULTON, RICHARD E.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AppExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of the (1) a timely filed amendn	is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in					
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	·						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	than SIX MONTHS from the mail AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH date on which the petition under 3 tension and the corresponding am ned statutory period for reply origin	ling date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP IT CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under lially set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar		•					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	smissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appea	by materially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does NOT place the					
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	pecause it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:						
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 20-30,41 and 42.	Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-30,41 and 42</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-14,16,17,19,31-40 and 43-55.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.					
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☐ Other:		WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner's position, as stated in the Advisory Action dated 02 June 2003, that the amendment dated 20 May 2003 will not be entered due to the need for further search and consideration is proper. The addition of the limitation reciting the catheter rotating between 30 rpm and 600 rpm has not been previously considered as a claimed limitation, and therefore raises new issues. The mere mention of the prior art disclosing a rotational speed (see Office Action dated 18 September 2002, p. 6) does not mean that the Examiner considered the rotational speed within the context of the claim. Since the rotational speed has not been previously considered as a feature of the claimed invention, the addition of a limitation defining the rotational speed raises new issues and will require further search and consideration.